UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,236	02/28/2004	Luther Trawick	TRA-04-001 7961		
40816 BRADLEY D.	7590 08/13/200 GOLDIZEN	EXAMINER			
505 SOUTH INDEPENDENCE BOULEVARD, SUITE 102 VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 23452			HWANG, VIC	HWANG, VICTOR KENNY .	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3764		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		08/13/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-1	•
61	
U	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Matica of Aboudonness	10/789,236	TRAWICK ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor K. Hwang	3764
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a supply to the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.		
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	5).	
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		e the period for seeking court review
7. ⊠ The reason(s) below:		
The maximum statutory period for response has exp		N C. SIRMONS BY PATENT EXAMINER.
Victor K. Hwang Patent Examiner	Kein	1. Surmon.

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment
Part of Paper No. 20070802